12-12020-mg Doc 968-1 Filed 08/01/12 Entered 08/01/12 15:56:45 Exhibit 1 - Excerpt of Hearing Transcript Pg 1 of 14

## Exhibit 1

		1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	Lead Case No. 12-12020-mg Adv. Proc. No. 12-01671-mg	
4	x	
5	In the Matters of:	
6	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,	
7	Debtors.	
8	x	
9	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,	
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	- against -	
12	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	x	
15		
16	United States Bankruptcy Court	
17	One Bowling Green	
18	New York, New York	
19		
20	July 10, 2012	
21	10:07 AM	
22		
23	BEFORE:	
24	HON. MARTIN GLENN	
25	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
	eScribers, LLC   (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net   www.escribers.net	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 35 RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. THE COURT: Mr. and Mrs. Burris, so you understand how we're going to proceed? I'm going to have Mr. Rosenbaum or one of his colleagues -- because they're in agreement to lift the stay for the purposes of allowing this mediation, hopefully the conclusion of a settlement, to proceed. And the debtors' counsel, Mr. Rosenbaum or one of his colleagues will get you a proposed order. If it's an agreeable form, let him know. And he'll share it also with the committee. And hopefully no further hearing will be required. The order will be entered, and you'll get notice of that. Okay? MS. BURRIS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. BURRIS: We appreciate it. THE COURT: Thank you Mr. and Mrs. Burris. Okay, next matter? MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, the next motion for stay relief was filed by Kenneth Taggart, who's also appearing pro I don't know if Mr. Taggart made an appearance or not. THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, are you on the phone? MR. TAGGART: Yes, I am. Can you hear me? THE COURT: Yes, I can. Go ahead and tell me what you want to say. This is Ken Taggart calling MR. TAGGART: Yeah, hi. in reference to the motion that was filed in court. represent myself pro se. And I'm requesting actually some eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

myself pro se.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 36 clarification on the stay or limited stay in the case filed by GMAC v. Taggart, which was a foreclosure complaint filed in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania against myself, representing

And according to counsel and the filing of the limited stay, they're claiming that only -- they're able to proceed with the foreclosure complaint and -- I'm sorry, there's counterclaims as well filed in the -- against GMAC. And GMAC is actually -- contends that only the claims that would be defending the foreclosure complaint would proceed, and any claims seeking monetary damages would be stayed until the stay is lifted. It's my contention that all the counterclaims actually seek relief in the form of rescission of the loan, which should proceed.

And in addition to that, in my complaint, it basically states that I would be entitled to offsets for any claims that were entered in my favor as far as a judgment -- monetary claims would offset any judgment in GMAC's claims. So it is my opinion that all the claims should proceed and the stay should be lifted in the entire case.

In addition to that, I've requested for quiet title on the property, retaining possession. And there's also claims for breach of contract in the counterclaims as well. So I'm basically seeking relief from stay from all the counterclaims that have been filed against GMAC in that case. And GMAC, I

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 37 believe, it looks like in their brief -- I only just received it today -- does not clarify what their position is. But I'm seeking a relief of stay from all counterclaims against GMAC. THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, are you represented by counsel in that action? MR. TAGGART: I'm not, no. It's pro se. THE COURT: Okay. So the record --MR. TAGGART: I'm sorry. THE COURT: -- is clear, Mr. Taggart is a defendant in a state court foreclosure action pending in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, captioned GMAC Mortgage LLC v. Kenneth Taggart. And in connection with the pending foreclosure, on April 28th, 2010, Mr. Taggart filed a third amended counterclaim against GMAC Mortgage LLC, LBA Financial Group LLC, Eagle Nationwide Mortgage Company, et al. And the Taggart counterclaim alleges thirty-two counterclaims against the debtors and other defendants, including violations of numerous state and federal statutes including RESPA and TILA. Mr. Rosenbaum, do you want to respond to Mr. Taggart's argument? Thank you, Your Honor. We -- at MR. ROSENBAUM: Morrison & Foerster, one of my colleagues have had extensive discussions with Mr. Taggart to explain to him the scope of the supplemental order. We've explained to Mr. Taggart, after consultation with our counsel in the underlying action, that eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 39
2	MR. TAGGART: Okay, sure.
3	THE COURT: I'll give you another chance. Just
4	MR. TAGGART: No problem.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbaum is trying to find
6	something.
7	MR. ROSENBAUM: Just bear with me one second, Your
8	Honor. Sorry.
9	It's a claim under the Mortgage Property Insurance
10	Coverage Act, attempting to require more money in escrow than
11	allowed by law and causing and/or illegally filing foreclosure
12	as a result of such breach. I believe this is
13	THE COURT: Why are you
14	MR. ROSENBAUM: a forced placed insurance
15	THE COURT: prepared to allow that to go forward,
16	but not the other thirty-one?
17	MR. ROSENBAUM: Based on the opinion of our counsel
18	our local counsel handling the matter, this would be a
19	defense a valid defense of the foreclosure action.
20	THE COURT: And your view as to the other thirty-one
21	is that they're not valid defenses to foreclosure?
22	MR. ROSENBAUM: Based on what our counsel has advised
23	us, yes.
24	THE COURT: I mean, does this if your counsel
25	doesn't like what somebody alleges, they just say we don't like
	eScribers, LLC   (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net   www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 40 1 it, and it shouldn't be allowed; and this one, we're allow --2 we're okay with? Is that what's happening here? 3 MR. ROSENBAUM: No, Your Honor. It was a very careful 4 analysis that was undertaken at our request. I don't have a 5 declaration or affidavit from counsel. If that's something 6 Your Honor would think would be necessary, we could adjourn the 7 matter. And again, we're happy to continue and explain to Mr. Taggart our position on each of the other thirty-one. But they 8 9 are, for the most part, monetary claims or claims that 10 Pennsylvania law does not recognize as a defense to foreclosure. 11 12 MR. TAGGART: Hello? 13 THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart. 14 In your opposition to the lift stay, I mean, have you 15 set forth why the -- why one is a defense and thirty-one of 16 them are not, and therefore the stay should not be lifted as to 17 thirty-one of them but you have no problem as to one? 18 MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, we did not do that, Your Honor. 19 Because --20 THE COURT: I know. MR. ROSENBAUM: -- we -- Mr. Taggart -- and I 21 22 understand he's pro se, and we're trying to be sensitive to 23 that -- did not seek that specific relief. He sought clarification as to the order. 24 25 What the order provides is that monetary claims and eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 41 claims that are not a defense to the foreclosure, are stayed by the supplemental order. I understand his position now. Your Honor would prefer to adjourn this, we can address the thirty-one other counts. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taggart let me give you a chance to reply, briefly. You understand the point --MR. TAGGART: Okay. THE COURT: Wait, let me just before you do that. you understand the point? Mr. Rosenbaum's arguing that you can't proceed with your monetary claims. To the extent that any of your counterclaims under applicable Pennsylvania law would be a defense to foreclosure, you can proceed with those. He's saying, as I understand it, that the mortgagee's counsel in Pennsylvania has stated that only the thirty-second counterclaim would be a defense -- if established, would be a defense to foreclosure. The other thirty-one would not. What is your reply, Mr. Taggart? MR. TAGGART: Yeah, I respectfully disagree. clearly an attempt for counsel to take advantage of a pro se litigant. But in response to that, Truth in Lending, TILA and RESPA, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, are all defenses recognized in foreclosure claims. Breach of contract of the mortgage is a defense in foreclosure claims, which has been alleged. Unfair Trade eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 42 Practices Act, Consumer Protection Law, in Pennsylvania, are all defenses and claims that can be made regarding foreclosures, all of which have been alleged. It's -- clearly what counsel is saying for ResCap or GMAC is clearly erroneous. They're all clearly defenses that are alleged here that are valid as defenses in foreclosure claims. THE COURT: Are you seeking a monetary judgment against the defendants, Mr. Taggart? MR. TAGGART: Yeah -- yes, I am. THE COURT: Okay. MR. TAGGART: I'm seeking monetary judgment in claims, but I'm also seeking --THE COURT: Okay, but Mr. Taggart, the one thing I want to be clear about is, I am not lifting -- I have not lifted the stay, and I'm not going to lift the stay to permit monetary claims to proceed against any of the debtors. MR. TAGGART: Your Honor, I unders -- I fully understand what you're saying. I guess then -- I guess my point would be that I should be able to proceed with the claims regarding defenses. I mean, obviously, if they're not able to award monetary judgment at this point, and that would be stayed. Obviously, it's my right to allege breach of contract, Truth in Lending and RESPA claims are all defenses, you know, in the mortgage foreclosure defense. THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you for a second, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

## RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

Mr. Taggart.

Mr. Rosenbaum, you disagree as to whether RESPA and TILA are defenses to foreclosure?

MR. ROSENBAUM: In Pennsylvania, I'm advised that they're not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's where we're going to proceed. Stop, Mr. Taggart.

MR. TAGGART: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbaum, I'm not satisfied that you've sufficiently addressed, other than telling me that your counsel in Pennsylvania says they're not a defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law. So I'm going to adjourn this matter. I'm going to give you a further chance to see if you can reach an agreement with Mr. Taggart as to which claims could proceed or which could proceed.

It may be that the appropriate way to deal with it -but I'm not compelling you to do this -- is to put it in an
agreed order that the claims can proceed only to the extent
that they would be a defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania
law, and that no monetary judgment or award may be rendered,
and then leave it to a Pennsylvania judge to figure out what
Pennsylvania law is as to the thirty-two counterclaims. I
don't particularly want to have to go through thirty-two
counterclaims and decide under Pennsylvania law which ones are
proper defenses to foreclosure and which are not.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 44 All I can say is, I don't believe your opposition to this motion adequately addresses those issues. So I don't feel I can, at this stage, rule. So I'm going to adjourn the matter to July 24th. In the meantime, you or your colleagues should try to reach an agreement or understanding with Mr. Taggart. If you do that and submit a consent order, I'll go ahead and act on that without the necessity of the further hearing on July 24th. Otherwise, you're going to have to file an additional brief --MR. TAGGART: Hello? THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart. MR. TAGGART: Hello? THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart. MR. TAGGART: Oh. The debtor will have to file an THE COURT: additional -- if you can't resolve this, Mr. Rosenbaum, the debtor's going to have to file an additional brief on or before 5 p.m. on July 17th, one week from today, addressing the issues of whether these thirty-two counterclaims are a proper defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law. And Mr. Taggart, I will give you until 5 p.m. Friday, July 20th, to file any reply. So Mr. Rosenbaum, make sure that Mr. Taggart -- because he may not have access to ECF -- that you either arrange to fax him or e-mail him a copy of whatever further brief you file, so that he has it promptly and has a eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 45 chance by Friday the 20th at 5 p.m., to file any further reply.
2	And the matter will be continued to July 24th. Okay.
3	Thank you, Mr. Taggart.
4	MR. TAGGART: Your Honor, can I say one thing a
5	couple things here?
6	THE COURT: Very brief.
7	MR. TAGGART: Yes. I will be out of town on July 24th
8	visiting family for ten days. I just wonder if that could be
9	continued until
10	THE COURT: It look, you're participating by phone.
11	You can participate by phone from wherever you are. Hopefully
12	you can work this out before then. Are you going to be in
13	transit on the 24th?
14	MR. TAGGART: On the 24th, let me check. I don't
15	believe so. No, I will not. No.
16	THE COURT: No. You can participate by phone from
17	wherever you are.
18	MR. TAGGART: Okay. So the hearing's on the 24th at
19	what
20	THE COURT: Yes, it is.
21	MR. TAGGART: do you have a time?
22	THE COURT: At 10 a.m.
23	MR. TAGGART: 10 a.m. Okay. 10 o'clock a.m.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, just so we're clear. I am
25	not going to lift the stay I want to make it crystal clear
	eScribers, LLC   (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net   www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. 46 to you I'm not going to lift the stay to permit you to		
proceed with counterclaims seeking monetary relief. Only I		
will consider lifting it; I'm not saying I will I will		
consider lifting it only to the extent that the counterclaims		
you have asserted are defenses to foreclosure under		
Pennsylvania law.		
So hopefully, when you talk with Mr. Rosenbaum or one		
of his colleagues, don't think that there's going to be an end		
run about this rule. Any order that gets entered, if I were to		
lift the stay, the order will make clear that it does not		
permit any counterclaims to proceed to the extent that they		
seek any monetary relief. Okay.		
MR. TAGGART: Okay, yeah. I understand that. Yes.		
THE COURT: All right. We've got to move on to the		
next matter.		
MR. TAGGART: Okay. All right, thank you, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Taggart. And you're		
excused.		
MR. TAGGART: Thank you.		
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Rosenbaum.		
MR. ROSENBAUM: The next motion is the motion of		
Yvonne and Sidney Lewis for relief from the automatic stay. I		
don't know if they've made an appearance or not, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: All right. Are Mr. or Mrs. Lewis on the		
phone?		
eScribers, LLC   (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net   www.escribers.net		